(1)

SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

3

W.P. NO. 1547 /2005

PETITIONER : Kashi Nath Singh, aged about 58 years, S/o. Shri Hajari Singh, Presently working as Accountant in the Office of Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Balrampur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh, Department of Panchayat & Gramin Vikas Development , D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Balrampur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 1744/05
Presented by Shri Rakesh
dated 20/04/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

30

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1547 **सन्** 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.4.2005

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jha, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State.

The petitioner, who is working as Accountant in the office of Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Balrampur, District Sarguja, Raipur, has preferred this writ petition questioning the legality & propriety of orders dated 4.3.2005 & 30.3.2005 (Annexures P-1 & P-2) whereby the petitioner has been informed that he shall retire on 30.4.2005, as on that date he is going to complete the age of 58 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide resolution dated 4.2.2003 (Annexure P-5) the date of superannuation has been increased from 58 years to 60 years, even then the respondents are retiring the petitioner on attaining the age of 58 years. Counsel further submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 along with copy of this petition raising all his grievances and respondent No.1 be directed to decide his representation, to which counsel for the respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation along with copy of this petition within a period of one week from today to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another one month from the date of receipt of the representation in an objective manner after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.1206/05 & I.A. Nos.3015/05 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge